

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/718,946	11/21/2003	Graham W. Ketley	CN 37415	2911
4249	7590 06/13/2006		EXAM	INER
CAROL WILSON			NGUYEN, TAM M	
BP AMERIC				0.000.000
MAIL CODE 5 EAST			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
4101 WINFIELD ROAD			1764	
WARRENVILLE, IL 60555			DATE MAILED: 06/13/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
	10/718,946	KETLEY ET AL.		
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
	Tam M. Nguyen	1764		
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with th	e correspondence address		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v. Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATI 36(a). In no event, however, may a repty be will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS for , cause the application to become ABANDO	ON. e timely filed rom the mailing date of this communication. DNED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status				
1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 21 N 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ This 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for alloware closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters,	•		
Disposition of Claims				
4) Claim(s) 1-34 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-34 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on 21 November 2003 is/a Applicant may not request that any objection to the	wn from consideration. or election requirement. or. are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ obj drawing(s) be held in abeyance.	See 37 CFR 1.85(a).		
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	÷			
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 				
Attachment(s)				
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>7/29/05</u>. 	4) Interview Summ Paper No(s)/Ma 5) Notice of Inform 6) Other:			

Art Unit: 1764

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1 and 2 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Brownawell et al. (EP-0252606).

Brownawell discloses a process for increasing the cetane number of a middle distillate fraction by contacting the fraction with oxygen in the presence of a catalyst comprising a metal of Group VIII (e.g., cobalt) and a support to produce an effluent stream having an oxygen content incorporated therein. Brownawell also teaches that the effluent stream comprises the claimed amount of oxygen content. (See abstract; page 5, lines 9-29; Tables, VII-X)

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

Art Unit: 1764

1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.

2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.

3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.

4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Claims 3-34 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over by Brownawell et al. (EP-0252606) in view of Galuszka (US 5,637,259)

Brownawell does not disclose that the support comprises magnesium oxide or calcium oxides, does not specifically disclose the amount of Group VIII metal, and does not disclose the effluent stream TAN number is less than about 2 mg KOH/g.

Galuszka teaches an oxidation catalyst comprising a support comprising either magnesium oxide or calcium oxide. (See col. 3, lines 26-40)

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified the process of Brownawell by using either magnesium oxide or calcium oxide as suggested by Galuszka because Brownawell teaches that any suitable support can be used in the process.

Art Unit: 1764

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified the process of Brownawell by using the claimed amount of cobalt because it is within the level of one of skill in the art to use any effective amount of cobalt including the claimed amount with the expectation that any amount of cobalt would give similar results.

Since the modified process of Brownawell is similar to the claimed process in terms of feedstock and catalyst, it would be expected that the effluent stream from the modified process of Brownawell would have the TAN number as claimed.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tam M. Nguyen whose telephone number is (571) 272-1452. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Thursday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Glenn Caldarola can be reached on (571) 272-1444. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 1764

Page 5

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Tam M. Nguyen Examiner Art Unit 1764

TN